

s-d scattering calculation details

Of the two contributors to resistivity in transition metals, s-d scattering dominates s-s scattering.

The free s-electrons are scattered into localized d-states and remain trapped. This becomes the dominant contributor to resistivity in 3-d metals such as Ni, Co, Fe, but not Cu.

Therefore $\rho = \rho_{ss} + \rho_{sd} \approx \rho_{sd}$. since $\rho_{sd} \gg \rho_{ss}$ we are claiming here that s-d scattering is anisotropic

<p>$\rho_{ }^{\uparrow}$ $\rho_{ }^{\downarrow}$</p>	<p>$\rho_{ }^{\uparrow}$ $\rho_{ }^{\downarrow}$</p>	$\rho_{ }^{\uparrow} = \rho_{sd}^{\uparrow}(k_z)$ $\rho_{ }^{\downarrow} = \rho_{sd}^{\downarrow}(k_z)$
<p>$\rho = \rho_{ }^{\uparrow} + \rho_{ }^{\downarrow}$</p>	<p>$\rho_{\perp} = \rho_{\perp}^{\uparrow} + \rho_{\perp}^{\downarrow}$</p>	$\rho_{\perp}^{\uparrow} = \rho_{sd}^{\uparrow}(k_x)$ $\rho_{\perp}^{\downarrow} = \rho_{sd}^{\downarrow}(k_x)$

$$\rho_{sd}^{\uparrow}(k_z) \propto \sum_m \left| \int \phi_{m\downarrow} v(r) e^{i k_z z} \chi_{\uparrow} dV \right|^2$$

$\phi_{m\downarrow}$ are the spin-mixed d states. For example,

$$\phi_{2\downarrow} = \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\right) \phi_2^{+2} \chi_{\downarrow} + \epsilon \phi_2^{+1} \chi_{\uparrow} \quad \left(\text{ignoring } \frac{3\epsilon^2}{2} \phi_2^{+1} \chi_{\uparrow} \text{ term}\right)$$

$$\phi_2^{+2} \propto \frac{x^2 - y^2}{r^2} \quad ; \quad \phi_2^{+1} \propto \frac{zx}{r^2}$$

Since $\langle \chi_{\downarrow} | \chi_{\uparrow} \rangle = 0$, for $m=2$ $\rho_{sd}^{\uparrow}(k_z) \propto \left| \int \epsilon \phi_2^{+1} v(r) e^{i k_z z} dV \right|^2$

But $\int \frac{z x}{r^2} v(r) e^{i k_z z} R(r)^2 dr \sin \theta d\theta d\phi = 0$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} x = r \sin \theta \cos \phi \\ z = r \cos \theta \end{array} \rightarrow \int v(r) R(r)^2 e^{i k_z r \cos \theta} \sin^2 \theta \cos \theta d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} \cos \phi d\phi = 0 \right]$$

So $m = \pm 2$ term does not contribute to $\rho_{s \uparrow d}(k_z)$

Similarly $m = \pm 1$ term also since it has $z x$ or $z y$ terms

$m = 0$ term $\propto \frac{(x^2 + y^2 - 2z^2)}{r^2} \rightarrow \phi_{0 \downarrow} = \left(1 - \frac{3}{4} \epsilon^2\right) \phi_{0 \downarrow}^0 + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \epsilon \phi_{0 \uparrow}^0$

$$\rho_{s \uparrow d}(k_z) \propto \left| e^{i k_z z} v(r) \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \epsilon R(r) \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} (r^2 - 3z^2) \right|^2$$

$$\propto \frac{3}{2} \epsilon^2 \cdot \frac{4}{12} \underbrace{\left| e^{i k_z z} v(r) R(r) z^2 dv \right|^2}_{\rho'}$$

normalization factor of Y_e^m from

$$\boxed{\rho_{s \uparrow d}(k_z) \propto \frac{3}{2} \epsilon^2 \rho' = \rho_{\parallel \uparrow}}$$

Similarly $\rho_{s \downarrow d}(k_z)$ will have contributions from only the $d \downarrow$ band. Again only $m = 0$ term will be non-zero

$$\rho_{s \downarrow d}(k_z) \propto \left(1 - \frac{3}{4} \epsilon^2\right)^2 \left| \int e^{i k_z z} v(r) R(r) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (r^2 - 3z^2) dv \right|^2$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{3}{4} \epsilon^2\right)^2 \approx 1 - \frac{3}{2} \epsilon^2 + \frac{9}{16} \epsilon^4$$

$$\approx 1 - \frac{3}{2} \epsilon^2$$

$$\boxed{\rho_{s \downarrow d}(k_z) \propto \left(1 - \frac{3}{2} \epsilon^2\right) \rho'} \quad \rho_{\parallel \downarrow} = \rho_{s \downarrow d}(k_z) \approx \rho' \text{ as it should be.}$$

Note when $\epsilon = 0$ $\rho_{s \uparrow d}(k_z) = 0$ and $\rho_{s \downarrow d}(k_z) = \rho'$

$\Rightarrow \rho'$ can be interpreted as the resistivity due to $s \downarrow$ to $d \downarrow$ scattering in absence of spin-orbit coupling.

→ perpendicular direction

When current is along x-direction both $m = \pm 2, 0$ is active since $\int x^2 e^{ik_x x} v(r) R(r) dv \neq 0$. But $m = \pm 1$ does not contribute.

So, $P_{sd}(k_x) \propto \left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \epsilon\right)^2 \left| \int x^2 e^{ik_x x} v(r) R(r) dv \right|^2$
 from $\phi_{1\downarrow} = (1 - \frac{3}{4} \epsilon^2) \phi_{1\downarrow}^0 + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \epsilon \phi_{0\uparrow}^0$

+ $\epsilon^2 \left| \int e^{ik_x x} v(r) R(r) \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} x^2 \right|^2$
 from $(1 - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2) \phi_{1\downarrow} + \epsilon \phi_{-2\uparrow} = \phi_{-1\downarrow}$

= $\left(\frac{3}{2} \epsilon^2 + \frac{3}{2} \epsilon^2\right) \frac{1}{12} \left| \int e^{ik_x x} v(r) R(r) x^2 dv \right|^2$

= $\frac{3}{4} \epsilon^2 \frac{4}{12} \left| \int e^{ik_x x} v(r) R(r) x^2 dv \right|^2$

$P_{sd}(k_x) = \frac{3}{4} \epsilon^2 \rho' = \rho_{\perp}^{\uparrow}$ (from $\phi_{1\downarrow}$)

Similarly, $P_{sd}(k_x) = (1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2})^2 \left| \int e^{ik_x x} v(r) R(r) \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} x^2 dv \right|^2$ (from $\phi_{0\downarrow}$)
 + $(1 - \frac{3}{4} \epsilon^2)^2 \left| \int e^{ik_x x} v(r) R(r) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} x^2 dv \right|^2$ (from $\phi_{-2\downarrow}$)
 + $\left| \int e^{ik_x x} v(r) R(r) \frac{x^2}{2\sqrt{2}} dv \right|^2$ (from $\phi_{-1\downarrow}$)

$P_{sd}(k_x) = (1 - \frac{3}{4} \epsilon^2) \rho' = \rho_{\perp}^{\downarrow} \Rightarrow \rho_{\perp}^{\downarrow} \approx \rho'$

From these eqns $P_{sd}^{\uparrow}(k_z) = \frac{3}{2} \epsilon^2 \rho' = \frac{3}{4} \epsilon^2 \rho' + \frac{3}{4} \epsilon^2 \rho' = \rho_{\perp}^{\uparrow} + \gamma \rho' \approx \rho_{\perp}^{\uparrow} + \gamma \rho_{\perp}^{\downarrow}$
 $P_{sd}^{\downarrow}(k_z) = (1 - \frac{3}{4} \epsilon^2) \rho' = (1 - \frac{3}{4} \epsilon^2) \rho' - \frac{3}{4} \epsilon^2 \rho' = \rho_{\perp}^{\downarrow} - \gamma \rho' \approx \rho_{\perp}^{\downarrow} - \gamma \rho_{\perp}^{\downarrow}$

$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \rho_{\parallel}^{\uparrow} \approx \rho_{\perp}^{\uparrow} + \gamma \rho_{\perp}^{\downarrow} \\ \rho_{\parallel}^{\downarrow} \approx \rho_{\perp}^{\downarrow} - \gamma \rho_{\perp}^{\downarrow} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \text{AMR} = \gamma(\alpha - 1)$
 Note $\rho' \approx \rho_{\perp}^{\downarrow}$ and $\rho' \approx \rho_{\parallel}^{\downarrow}$