

Stoner Instability → Following a problem in Kittel

Exchange interaction → Lets assume that electrons favor to align themselves, and for each pair of parallel alignment there is a gain of ^{potential} energy $-|V|$, → detailed reason later (Dyson)

Electrons with anti-parallel spins do not interact (Assumption)

Lets work out the energetics of this problem similar to Pauli paramagnetism calculation.

There are N_+ electrons with spin up.

$$N_+ = \frac{1}{2} N (1 + \Delta) \quad \Delta \ll 1 \quad \left. \vphantom{N_+} \right\} N_+ + N_- = N$$

and, N_- electrons with spin down

$$N_- = \frac{1}{2} N (1 - \Delta)$$

$$\left. \vphantom{N_-} \right\} \begin{aligned} N_+ - N_- &= N \Delta \\ M &= \mu (N_+ - N_-) = \mu N \Delta \end{aligned}$$

Lets calculate the energy of the spin up band

How many pairs can be chosen with N_+ electrons

$$= \binom{N_+}{2} = \frac{N_+!}{2! (N_+ - 2)!} \approx \frac{1}{2} N_+ (N_+ - 1) \approx \frac{1}{2} N_+^2 = \frac{1}{8} N^2 (1 + \Delta)^2$$

$$\text{Potential energy} = -\frac{V}{8} N^2 (1 + \Delta)^2$$

$$\text{Kinetic energy} = E_0 (1 + \Delta)^{5/3} \rightarrow \text{From Pauli paramagnetism discussion.}$$

$$\text{Magnetic energy} = -\mu B N_+ = -\mu \frac{B N}{2} (1 + \Delta)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Total energy} &= E_0 (1 + \Delta)^{5/3} - \mu B N_+ - \frac{V}{8} N^2 (1 + \Delta)^2 \\ &= E_0 (1 + \Delta)^{5/3} - \frac{V}{8} N^2 (1 + \Delta)^2 - \mu \frac{B N}{2} (1 + \Delta) \end{aligned}$$

Energy of down spins :- $E_0(1-\Delta)^{5/3} - \frac{1}{8}VN^2(1-\Delta)^2 + \frac{N}{2}\mu_B(1-\Delta)$

Total energy :-

$$E = E_0 \left[(1+\Delta)^{5/3} + (1-\Delta)^{5/3} \right] - \frac{VN^2}{8} \left[(1+\Delta)^2 + (1-\Delta)^2 \right] - \mu_B N \Delta$$

Minimum energy is obtained when $\frac{\partial E}{\partial \Delta} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow E_0 \left[\frac{5}{3}(1+\Delta)^{2/3} - \frac{5}{3}(1-\Delta)^{2/3} \right] - \frac{VN^2}{8} [2(1+\Delta) - 2(1-\Delta)] - \mu_B N = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E_0 \left[\frac{5}{3}(1+\frac{2}{3}\Delta) - \frac{5}{3}(1-\frac{2}{3}\Delta) \right] - \frac{VN^2}{8} [2+2\Delta - 2+2\Delta] - \mu_B N = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E_0 \left[\frac{5}{3} + \frac{10}{9}\Delta - \frac{5}{3} + \frac{10}{9}\Delta \right] - \frac{VN^2\Delta}{2} - \mu_B N = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{20E_0}{9}\Delta - \frac{VN^2\Delta}{2} - \mu_B N = 0$$

$$\frac{20E_0}{9} = \frac{20}{9} \left(\frac{3}{10} \right) N \epsilon_F$$

$$= \frac{2}{3} N \epsilon_F$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta \left[\frac{20E_0}{9} - \frac{VN^2}{2} \right] = \mu_B N$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta = \frac{\mu_B N}{\frac{20E_0}{9} - \frac{VN^2}{2}} = \frac{\mu_B N}{\frac{2}{3}N\epsilon_F - \frac{VN^2}{2}} = \frac{\mu_B}{\frac{2}{3}\epsilon_F - \frac{VN}{2}} = \frac{3\mu_B}{2\epsilon_F - \frac{3}{2}VN}$$

$$M = \mu(N_F - N_-) = \mu N \Delta = \left(\frac{3\mu^2 N}{2\epsilon_F - \frac{3}{2}VN} \right) B$$

When $V=0$ $M \rightarrow M_{\text{Pauli}}$. So exchange interaction

enhances M since $2\epsilon_F - \frac{3}{2}VN < 2\epsilon_F$

what happens when $B=0$?

It is easy to show that the solution is unstable at all B (including zero) when $V > \frac{4\epsilon_F}{3N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial \Delta^2} &= E_0 \left[\frac{5}{3} \frac{2}{3} (1+\Delta)^{-1/3} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{5}{3} (1-\Delta)^{-1/3} \right] - \frac{VN^2}{8} [2+2] \\ &= \frac{10E_0}{9} \left[1 - \frac{\Delta}{3} + 1 + \frac{\Delta}{3} \right] - \frac{VN^2}{2} \\ &= \frac{20E_0}{9} - \frac{VN^2}{2} = \frac{2}{3} N \epsilon_F - \frac{VN^2}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial \Delta^2} > 0 \text{ when } V < \frac{4\epsilon_F}{3N}$$

But when $V > \frac{4\epsilon_F}{3N}$ $\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial \Delta^2} < 0 \rightarrow$ unstable. even at $B=0$

Therefore the paramagnetic state is no longer stable

$$\text{for } V < \frac{4\epsilon_F}{3N}$$

$$\Delta = \left(\frac{3\mu^2 N}{2\epsilon_F - \frac{3}{2}VN} \right) B \quad \text{at } V = \frac{4\epsilon_F}{3N} \text{ and } B=0, \Delta \neq 0 \text{ solution is possible}$$

There is another state called the ferromagnetic state that has lower energy than the paramagnetic state

$V > \frac{4\epsilon_F}{3N}$ is called the Stoner criterion. $\frac{4\epsilon_F}{3N} = 2 \left(\frac{2\epsilon_F}{3N} \right) = \frac{2}{D(\epsilon_F)}$

There is a spontaneous splitting of the bands.

This is a phase transition at zero-temperature

that occurs by changing a control parameter (exchange interaction)

$$V \geq \frac{2}{D(\epsilon)}$$

high $D(\epsilon)$ stabilizes ferromagnetism
Observed in 3d atoms.