Homework No. 03 (Spring 2015)

PHYS 530A: Quantum Mechanics II

Due date: Friday, 2015 Feb 27, 4.30pm

1. (20 points.) (Ref: Milton's notes.) The energy of a charge e moving with velocity \mathbf{v} in an external electromagnetic field is

$$E = e\phi - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A},\tag{1}$$

where ϕ is the scalar potential and **A** is the vector potential. The relation between **A** and the magnetic field **H** is

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{A}.\tag{2}$$

For a constant (homogenous in space) magnetic field **H**, verify that

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{r} \tag{3}$$

is a possible vector potential. Then, by looking at the energy, identify the magnetic moment μ of the moving charge.

2. (20 points.) (Ref: Milton's notes.) Consider an atom entering a Stern-Gerlach apparatus. Deflection upward begins as soon as the atom enters the inhomogeneous field. By the time the atom leaves the field, it has been deflected upward by a net amount Δz . Compute Δz for

$$\mu_z = 10^{-27} \frac{J}{G}, \quad \frac{\partial H_z}{\partial z} = 10^6 \frac{G}{m}, \quad l = 10 \text{ cm}, \quad T = \frac{mv_x^2}{k} = 10^3 \text{ K}.$$
 (4)

3. (20 points.) (Ref: Milton's notes.) Using the notation for the probability for a measurement in the Stern-Gerlach experiment, introduced in the class, show that

$$p([+;\theta_1,\phi_1] \to [-;\theta_2,\phi_2]) = \frac{1-\cos\Theta}{2},\tag{5}$$

where

$$\cos\Theta = \cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2 + \sin\theta_1 \sin\theta_2 \cos(\phi_1 - \phi_2). \tag{6}$$

4. (20 points.) (Ref: Milton's notes.) Show that

$$p([+;0,0] \to [+;\pi,0]) = 0.$$
 (7)

Further, show that

$$p([+;0,0] \to [\pm;\theta,\phi] \to [+;\pi,0]) = 0,$$
 (8)

which is a statement of destructive interference. Compare this with the probability for

$$p([+;0,0] \to [+;\theta,\phi] \to [+;\pi,0])$$
 (9)

and

$$p([+;0,0] \to [-;\theta,\phi] \to [+;\pi,0]).$$
 (10)

5. (20 points.) Show that

$$p([+;0,0] \to [-;\pi,0]) = 1.$$
 (11)

Further, show that

$$p([+;0,0] \to [\pm;\theta,\phi] \to [-;\pi,0]) = 1,$$
 (12)

which is a statement of constructive interference. Compare this with the probability for

$$p([+;0,0] \to [+;\theta,\phi] \to [-;\pi,0])$$
 (13)

and

$$p([+;0,0] \to [-;\theta,\phi] \to [-;\pi,0]).$$
 (14)